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Abstract— Multielectrode, intracochlear implants were designed for individuals with profound sensorineural hearing loss who derive little or no benefit from acoustic hearing aids. Determination of each electrode’s position in a patient’s inner ear may improve speech processor programming to maximize speech recognition. In this paper, an approach is described to use as input a volumetric spiral computed tomography (CT) image of the Nucleus electrode array (Cochlear Pty. Ltd., Lane Cove, NSW, Australia) to unwrap it, and to measure its implanted length given starting and end points. Representative curvilinear structures were digitally synthesized in image volumes of isotropic 0.1-mm voxels. The electrode array was spirally CT-scanned in vitro and in vivo, and reconstructed on an isotropic grid in 0.1-mm steps. Two algorithms were constructed to track and measure these curvilinear structures. The first algorithm is Karhunen–Loeve (K-L)-transform based, in which the K-L transform is locally applied at a current main axis position to determine the eigenvectors of the main axis voxels, the next main axis position is estimated from the current position along the principal eigendirection, adjusted to the mass center of the orthogonal cross section passing through the estimated position, and then scaled to have a prespecified step. The second algorithm is similar to the first one but avoids use of the K-L transform. In the second algorithm, the next position is directly estimated along the local direction and then processed with the same correction and scaling operations. With user-specified starting and end points as well as a local direction at the starting point, a curvilinear structure can be automatically tracked using either of the algorithms. The first algorithm is more robust, while the second one is more efficient. In the numerical and in vitro studies, the lengths of the curvilinear structures were accurately measured. Given local directions determined in the tracking process, an electrode array image can be unwrapped into a linear array with the central electrode axis as the axis of the unwrapping approach allows longitudinally and cross-sectionally accurate measurement and better visualization of cochlear implant images. With preimplantation knowledge of length, width, and center electrode distance, the position of individual electrodes can be estimated after unwrapping.

I. INTRODUCTION

The human temporal bone contains many submillimeter-sized structures that are important for normal function of the middle and inner ear. In individuals with profound sensorineural hearing loss who get little or no benefit from hearing aids, sensory structures that transduce sound energy and primary auditory neurons often have degenerated. Multielectrode, intracochlear implants were designed to stimulate the surviving auditory neurons electrically by implanted electrodes with a diameter of approximately 0.3 to 0.5 mm. These implants assist speech recognition in many individuals. Three multielectrode, intracochlear implant systems are currently in use, including the Nucleus device (Cochlear Pty. Ltd., Lane Cove, NSW, Australia) with which more than 11 000 profoundly deaf individuals have been implanted worldwide. The band configuration of this device’s electrodes, the proximity of the electrodes, and the presence of the platinum lead wires makes it relatively difficult to determine the position of each electrode with spiral computed tomography (CT) scans [1]–[7].

In vivo three-dimensional (3-D) CT imaging of the temporal bone is being developed to aid in post-operative assessment of the electrode position [8]–[10]. Spiral CT (also referred to as helical CT) is a recent advance, in which source rotation, patient translation, and data acquisition are continuously and simultaneously conducted [11], [12]. Spiral CT not only accelerates data acquisition, but also permits retrospective reconstruction, which means that any transverse slice can be equally well reconstructed from raw projections. Retrospective reconstruction is particularly desirable in temporal bone imaging, while conventional reconstruction only offers a limited number of reconstructed slices and may miss or distort features of sizes comparable to slice thickness.

X-ray CT image resolution is anisotropic; specifically, the longitudinal resolution is substantially worse than the in-plane resolution. Due to continuous table motion and subsequent interpolation, the section sensitivity profile (SSP) in spiral CT is poorer than the longitudinal detector response function [12]–[14]. An analytic study was recently done to compare the longitudinal bandwidths of the conventional and spiral CT processes [15]–[17]. It was shown that for a given X-ray dose, spiral CT with a small reconstruction interval provides wider bandwidth and thus better longitudinal image resolution than conventional CT. This advantage of spiral CT was also experimentally demonstrated [18].

A preliminary study was recently performed on localization of Nucleus electrodes in the cochlear canal [8]. For a demonstration array coiled in gelatin, there was good agreement in electrode length and interelectrode distance between
measurements with spiral CT and stereo-microscopy, whereas electrode width was increased in CT images mainly due to the partial volume averaging effect. For a cochlear implant patient, the 3-D length of the cochlear canal and the 3-D insertion length of the electrode array were calculated from pre- and post-operative spiral CT scans, respectively. The cross-sectional position of the electrode array in relation to the outer bony wall and modiolus was also measured on several reconstructed images.

Determination of the longitudinal position of each electrode in relation to the total length of a patient’s cochlea can be used to estimate the range of acoustic frequencies to which nearby auditory neurons are most sensitive [19]. This information may be important for programming the speech processor to optimize a patient’s ability to understand speech. Accurate measurement of the cross-sectional position of each electrode in relation to auditory neurons in the modiolus may also correlate with the behavioral threshold and dynamic range of hearing,
parameters necessary for programming the speech processor. The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate the feasibility of automatic unwrapping and measurement of the implanted electrode array and neighboring anatomical structures in a spiral CT image to determine the position of individual electrodes.

In the unwrapping process, a curvilinear structure is digitally tracked step by step to obtain positional and directional information of its main axis. Subsequently, this information is used to map the structure into an elongated image volume consisting of cross sections orthogonal to the main axis.
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Spiral CT Scanner

A spiral CT scanner (Siemens Somatom PLUS-S, Siemens Medical Systems, Iselin, NJ, USA) was employed in this study. This system produces up to 32 consecutive 1-s scans. The detector collimation is selectable from 1 mm to 10 mm. The table increment per gantry rotation varies from 1 to 20 mm. The reconstruction matrix is of 512 by 512 pixels with 4096 gray-levels (12 bits) and an image reconstruction zoom factor up to 16. The maximum in-plane spatial resolution at high contrast is 0.35 mm (at 2%). The cross-field uniformity is ±2 Hounsfield units (HU). A research spiral CT software package was developed on the scanner for opposite neighboring ray interpolation (half-scan interpolation/180°/1) [12] and overlapping transverse reconstruction down to 0.1 mm, with a variable gray-level scaling up to a factor of ten to avoid truncation of image voxel values exceeding the conventional maximum (3071 HU).

B. Implant and Data Acquisition

Fig. 1 shows an idealized Nucleus cochlear implant system and its position after implantation. External parts of the system consist of a directional microphone that detects sound and converts it into electronic signals, a speech processor that extracts information from the incoming signal and encodes it into a sequence of data bursts, and a transmitter that sends these bursts through the skin. Internal parts of this system consist of an antenna that detects the data bursts, a receiver/stimulator that decodes them, and an electrode array that causes electrical stimulation of auditory nerve fibers.

A demonstration array was obtained from the manufacturer, which did not meet quality control criteria and was bent during handling. However, the physical features of this array are representative for our purpose and do not affect the conclusions of this feasibility study. This array was measured in three ways. The actual array was placed under a stereomicroscope (Wild, 5A, Switzerland), its dimensions were traced and then measured with a graphic tablet/computer system (Hewlett-Packard, HP-85, Palo Alto, CA, USA). A conventional radiograph was made of the array and measured in the same manner as the actual array. The array was embedded in gelatin, imaged by spiral CT and measured from axial images. The length of the actual array was measured from the silastic tip to the beginning of the coiled lead wires. In addition, an implanted array in an adult patient was scanned five weeks after surgery. In the CT studies, scanning and reconstruction were performed with the gantry tilted to match the Frankfort horizontal, 165 mA for 17 s at 120 kV, 1 mm collimation, 1 mm table increment, 0.1 mm reconstruction interval, 9.8 zoom and the ultra-high reconstruction filter. The scale expansion factor used in the in vitro and in vivo array reconstructions were one and ten, respectively. With these imaging protocols, the scanner was actually operated to maximize the high-contrast image resolution.

Representative curvilinear structures were numerically synthesized into volumetric images of isotropic 0.1 mm voxels. These numerical phantoms are respectively circular, spiral, knot, and sine-modulated spiral segments as shown in the left column of Fig. 2. The synthesis was directly based on well-known mathematical descriptions. For example, the main axis of the sine-modulated spiral phantom is described below

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= 5.12\phi \cos \phi, \\
    y &= 5.12\phi \sin \phi, \\
    z &= 4.5\pi
\end{align*}
\]  

and its cross-sectional radius is modulated as

\[
    r = \sqrt{10 + 32 \sin(10\phi)}
\]

where \( \phi \in [2.5\pi, 7\pi] \). These formulas were obtained via iterative refinement. The computational unit is in voxel side length. Volumetric images of the phantoms are \( 128^3 \) except for the sine-modulated spiral phantom image which is \( 256^3 \).

C. Image Unwrapping

Because the linear X-ray absorption coefficient of the platinum electrodes and lead wires is approximately 25 000 HU, the curvilinear structure can be segmented via simple thresholding. In other words, the structure of interest consists of voxels whose HU values are higher than a specified threshold. The streaks generated from the implant have significantly lower HU values than the implant array; therefore, they will not appear after thresholding.

To unwrap a curvilinear structure from user-specified starting to end points, two tracking algorithms were constructed as illustrated in Fig. 3. The flowchart of the unwrapping procedure is given in Fig. 4. The details are explained as follows.

The ANALYZE image analysis and visualization software system [20] was used to specify the starting and end points and a reference direction that will roughly approximate the local direction at this starting point on the main axis of a curvilinear structure. The starting and end points are specified...
as follows. The program "Cube Sections" within the ANA­LYZE interactively generates a simultaneous display of three orthogonal planes of a volumetric image. Each plane can be interactively and independently sliced away in its orientation to reveal interior sections of the volume. The user interface in this program consists of buttons and sliders. Orthogonal slices may be explored with the X, Y, and Z sliders, which are rulers on screen to specify slice indexes with the cursor. The readings of the X, Y, and Z sliders are the coordinates of the intersection point of the orthogonal faces. In clinical practice, the starting point should be selected at the entry of the electrode array into the cochlear canal. The end point should be the tip of the array. The array tip looks like a spherical structure due to partial volume averaging in spiral CT imaging. The X-ray absorption coefficient at its center is approximately 25 000 HU. This center should approximate the tip position reasonably well. With the same program, a reference direction at the starting point can be estimated to approximate the local direction. Because of the imaging protocol we used, the slice thickness was minimized to 1 mm, and the volume averaging effect was accordingly limited. The volume averaging effect will not introduce any significant bias while determining starting and end positions of the array as well as the local direction at the starting point.

The first algorithm is based on the well-known Kar­hunen–Loève (K-L) transform [21], [22]. Specifically, consider voxels in a spherical region (Fig. 3) centered at a current position on the curvilinear main axis and of radius R, where R is selected to be substantially larger than the cross-sectional radius r of the curvilinear structure and is also sufficiently small that the linear segment contained in the region of interest (ROI) is approximately straight. A quantitative relationship depends on the curvature and radius of the curvilinear structure under consideration. For example, in our in vitro and in vivo studies, the radius of the array cross section is about 1 mm, hence R was set to 2 mm. The position vector of a voxel is denoted as c = (xi, yi, zi), i = 1, 2, · · ·, T, where T is the total number of the voxels that are within the ROI and have gray-levels higher than a prespecified threshold. The covariance matrix of these voxels is expressed as

$$C_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \end{pmatrix} - MM^t$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where

$$M = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ z_i \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

C can be transformed to a diagonal C' as follows:

$$C' = \Phi^t C \Phi$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where

$$C' = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3,$$

$\Phi_i = (\phi_{i1} \phi_{i2} \phi_{i3})^t, i = 1, 2, 3,$ are eigenvectors associated with C. The eigenvectors can be directly computed using the K-L transform routines. Geometrically speaking, $\phi_1$ approximates the orientation of the linear structure segment and $\lambda_1$ is the variance along this direction. From a current main axis position the next main axis position is estimated along the local eigendirection, adjusted to the mass center of the cross section (Fig. 3) orthogonal to the principal eigenvector and passing through the estimated position, and scaled to have a prespecified arc incremental length. The inner product of the principal eigenvector and the reference direction vector is computed to verify if the principal eigendirection is consistent with the reference direction. If the inner product is negative, the principal eigenvector is reversed. Then, the reference direction vector is updated with the principal eigenvector. Tracking continues until the end point is reached.

The second tracking algorithm avoids use of the K-L transform. Given starting and end positions on the main axis of a curvilinear structure as well as the local direction at the starting position, the next position is directly estimated along the local direction, adjusted to the mass center of the cross section (Fig. 3) orthogonal to the local direction and passing through the estimated position, and scaled to have a prespecified arc increment. Then, the new local direction is specified as being from the current position to the next position. The adjustment process may be iterated for higher accuracy.

If a curvilinear structure varies smoothly, the two tracking techniques are essentially equivalent. The second tracking algorithm is computationally more efficient, whereas, the first algorithm is more robust when a thin curvilinear structure contains sharp angles. Specifically, if a current main axis position is at an abrupt turn, the second tracking algorithm may generate an estimated next position that is well off the axis. This misplacement will cause the subsequent mass center adjustment and further tracking to fail.

After the tracking process is finished with either tracking algorithm, the sequence of main axis positions and associated local directions are listed. With this information, cross sections orthogonal to the main axis can be constructed. With the K-L transform based tracking algorithm, the two minor eigenvectors may be used to form a local cross-sectional coordinate system. With the other tracking algorithm, the local directional vector may be cross-multiplied with a proper constant vector to generate a vector orthogonal to the local direction. The two orthogonal vectors are then cross-multiplied to form a vector orthogonal to both. The two orthogonal vectors thus generated can be used to describe the cross section. Appropriate alignment is possible by matching neighboring cross sections. Given the main axis positional and directional information, a curvilinear structure can be readily straightened into a linear array. In the current version of the unwrapping program, nearest neighbor interpolation is used. Nearest neighbor interpolation may be replaced by linear interpolation for more accurate measurements.

The computed total length of a curvilinear structure depends on the tracking step length. If the step is too large, the total
length will be smaller, because the curvature of the structure cannot be accurately traced. On the other hand, if the step is too small, the total length will be greater, because the inaccuracy in estimating the next main axis position will result in a "zigzag" tracking path. Using the second tracking algorithm, the average turning angle of the local direction vector can be obtained as
a function of the tracking step. The optimal tracking step is chosen corresponding to the minimum average turning angle. Fig. 5 shows the average turning angle curve for the spiral phantom (the second row in Fig. 2) where the optimal step should be 0.5 mm. The rationale for the optimal tracking step selection can be explained in the case of a circular curve. Ideally, the average turning angle is directly proportional to the tracking step if it is not too large compared to the radius of the circular curve. Practically, the average turning angle function is U-shaped as a function of the tracking step that is small relative to the curvature radius. When the tracking step is incremented, the average turning angle decreases first, reaches the minimum and then increases. For very small tracking steps, a “zigzag” effect occurs and causes large turning angles. For this reason, these small tracking steps are undesirable. For large tracking steps, approximation of a chord to the arc introduces significant error that causes the total length to be underestimated. Therefore, the tracking step corresponding to the minimum turning angle is preferred.

III. RESULTS

The two tracking algorithms performed similarly in terms of the total length measurement of the curvilinear structures in our experiments. Fig. 2 shows surface rendered views of synthetic and tracked C-shaped, spiral, knot, and sine-modulated-spiral structures before and after tracking using the second algorithm. The voxel side length in all the images is 0.1 mm. The four curvilinear structures were tracked with the optimal steps of 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, and 0.5 mm, respectively. Disks originating from the starting position identify orthogonal cross sections separated by four steps (0.4 mm). This disk separation was arbitrarily selected to illustrate the feasibility of determining orthogonal cross sections. Theoretically, these disks can be placed as densely as needed. The digitally measured total lengths of 20.78, 42.48, 19.98, and 110.1 mm are very consistent to the true values of 20.73, 42.5, 20.0, and 109.9 mm, respectively.

Direct measurement was made of the demonstration array by physically straightening it along a ruler. Its length from the silastic tip to the termination of the coiled lead wire was 57.1 mm. The demonstration array image thresholded at 800 HU was digitally tracked using the second algorithm. The computed total length is 57.8 mm, based on 0.5-mm tracking steps except for the last segment before the end point. The length of the last segment was computed directly. The relative error in this computed measurement is about 1.2%. In the unwrapping process, the array was marked with disks 2 mm apart for visualization. In Fig. 6, 29 disks are shown which are centered at and orthogonal to the array main axis.

An example of a 3-D reconstruction of an in vivo implanted array is shown in Fig. 7. Prior to this reconstruction, an image volume of 0.1 mm voxels was reconstructed with ten-fold scale expansion to avoid truncation at the conventional maximum CT number (3071 HU). For Fig. 7, a threshold of 800 HU was used, the implanted array was tracked in 0.5 mm steps using the first algorithm, and then marked with six orthogonal disks 2 mm apart. Subsequently, the slices orthogonal to the longitudinal axis of the implanted array were stacked. Three of these cross-sectional slices are shown in Fig. 8. The slices were displayed with a gray-level range (~1000, 6000) in HU to reveal anatomical structures surrounding the array. However, the choice of an upper limit of 6000 HU caused truncation of the range of HU well below the 25000 HU of the platinum electrodes and platinum-iridium lead wires. Consequently, the cross-sectional image of the array appears larger than its actual size. However, a raw absorption value profile can be drawn across the diameter of the array image to estimate its size more accurately. Given the manufacturer’s specifications of
the distribution of electrodes along the array, cross-sectional slices can be placed orthogonal to the array and centered at the approximate longitudinal center of each electrode. With the profile mentioned above, the distance from the cross-sectional center of an electrode to the modiolus and outer bony wall of the cochlea can be estimated.

IV. DISCUSSION

Estimation of the longitudinal and cross-sectional position of each electrode will provide a basis for monitoring possible migration of the array out of the cochlea and may allow better selection of sound processing parameters [23]. Typically, estimation of the electrode position is based on the surgeon’s report and on post-operative conventional radiographs [23]–[25]. Estimates of the characteristic frequency range of neurons near the array are determined based on average distributions of the human cochlea and the frequency-position function [19]. Use of this information provides only a rough approximation for two reasons. First, a conventional radiograph does not display the height of the cochlear spiral, a factor essential for accurately determining the cochlear canal length [26]. Second, human cochlear length varies from 28 to 40 mm [27], [28]. For accurate measurement of the longitudinal position of electrodes, values must be obtained from 3-D reconstructions of an individual’s inner ear from CT scans [8]. We hypothesize that patients will have better speech recognition if assignment of frequency bands to electrodes in the speech processor is based on 3-D values.

The algorithms we developed for unwrapping a cochlear implant array allows improved measurement of the total implanted length compared to estimates from conventional radiographs. These algorithms also allow visualization of cross sections orthogonal to the longitudinal axis of the array. When these cross sections are placed at the estimated position of each electrode based on the manufacturer’s array specification, the distance of the electrode from the modiolus and outer bony wall of the cochlea can be estimated.
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